

Date: Wed, 1 Dec 93 19:01:50 PST
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #1412
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Wed, 1 Dec 93 Volume 93 : Issue 1412

Today's Topics:

 ARLB111 Director election results
 ARLB112 FCC call sign update
 ARLB113 SM Election Results
 ARLX022 Earthwinds balloon ops
 ARLX023 Radio show goes national
 money money money
 W5YI's coverage of "temporary callsigns"

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>

Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>

Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Thu, 25 Nov 1993 00:10:59 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!gatech!concert!corpgate!
news.utdallas.edu!feenix.metronet.com!marcbg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARLB111 Director election results
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SB QST @ ARL \$ARLB111
ARLB111 Director election results

ZCZC AG53
QST de W1AW
ARRL Bulletin 111 ARLB111

Date: Thu, 25 Nov 1993 00:11:50 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!paladin.american.edu!gatech!
concert!corpgate!news.utdallas.edu!feenix.metronet.com!marcbg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARLB112 FCC call sign update
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SB QST @ ARL \$ARLB112 ARLB112 FCC call sign update
ZCZC AG54 QST de W1AW ARRL Bulletin 112 ARLB112
>From ARRL Headquarters Newington CT November 23, 1993
To all radio amateurs

The following is a list of the FCC's most recently issued
call signs as of November 1.

District	Group A Extra	Group B Advanced	Group C Tech/Gen	Group D Novice
0	AA0PJ	KG0JP	N0ZFP	KB0LMN
1	AA1HU	KD1SC	N1QOL	KB1BEI
2	AA2QI	KF2SM	N2XAA	KB2QPY
3	AA3GK	KE3KS	N3QVP	KB3AZG
4	AD4MR	KR4HF	++	KE4HJE
5	AB5QJ	KJ5SC	++	KC5DZT
6	AB6YI	KN6US	++	KE6DDA
7	AA7ZR	KI7TD	++	KB7JZM
8	AA8NC	KG8EZ	++	KB8QHH
9	AA9JF	KF9SE	N9VKZ	KB9IVZ
Hawaii	++	AH6NE	WH6QJ	WH6CQZ
Alaska	++	AL7PJ	WL7NY	WL7CHI
Virgin Is	WP2C	KP2CC	NP2GT	WP2AHU
Puerto Rico	++	KP4VW		WP4MLM

++All call signs in this group have been issued in this
area.

NNNN
/EX

--

	Marc Grant		
	Amateur Radio Station N5MEI		marcbg@metronet.com
	214-231-3998 (voice)		marcbg@esy.com
	214-231-0025 (fax)		

Date: Thu, 25 Nov 1993 00:12:44 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!gatech!concert!corpgate!
news.utdallas.edu!feenix.metronet.com!marcbg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARLB113 SM Election Results

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SB QST @ ARL \$ARLB113 ARLB113 SM Election Results
ZCZC AG55 QST de W1AW ARRL Bulletin 113 ARLB113

Date: Thu, 25 Nov 1993 00:14:56 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!concert!corpgate!
news.utdallas.edu!feenix.metronet.com!marcbg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARLX022 Earthwinds balloon ops
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SB SPCL @ ARL \$ARLX022 ARLX022 Earthwinds balloon ops
ZCZC AX31 QST de W1AW Special Bulletin 22 ARLX022

Date: Thu, 25 Nov 1993 00:13:35 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!concert!corpgate!
news.utdallas.edu!feenix.metronet.com!marcbg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARLX023 Radio show goes national
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SB SPCL @ ARL \$ARLX023 ARLX023 Radio show goes national
ZCZC AX32 QST de W1AW Special Bulletin 23 ARLX023 From
ARRL Headquarters Newington CT November 18, 1993
To all radio amateurs

A local radio program on Amateur Radio will go nationwide beginning Sunday, November 28. 'Ham Radio and More,' hosted by Len Winkler, KB7LPW, from KFNN in Phoenix, will be made available to the 82 member stations on the Talk America Network (TAN) at 6 p.m. EST on the 28th.

The show, now in its third year on KFNN, is non-technical and intended to appeal to licensed amateurs as well as the general public. Well-known amateurs are guests on the show, which runs two hours locally but which will last one hour in the network version.

Winkler says a grass-roots effort by hams is needed to make the national broadcasts a success. Amateurs are asked to call their local talk radio station (TAN affiliate or not) and encourage them to air 'Ham Radio and More.'

Winkler's first national guest on November 28 will be

Frank Moore, WA1URA, a television executive in Fort Wayne, Indiana, and producer of an award-winning documentary video about Amateur Radio's role in communications from Kuwait during the Iraqi occupation in 1990 and early 1991.

Amateurs can find out the call signs of stations in their area affiliated with TAN by calling KFNN at 602-241-1510. The show also can be heard via Satcom C-5, Transponder 19, 6.0 audio, and Galaxy 2, Transponder 3, Channel 55.4.

NNNN

/EX

--

	Marc Grant		
	Amateur Radio Station N5MEI		marchbg@metronet.com
	214-231-3998 (voice)		marchbg@esy.com
	214-231-0025 (fax)		

Date: Tue, 30 Nov 1993 19:01:11 GMT

From: ucsnews!newshub.sdsu.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!

cs.uiuc.edu!gooshie!sparc3.cs.uiuc.edu!pardee@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: money money money

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

This awesome messages explains how to make \$50,000 in cash. All you need is \$5! The best part is that it is totally legal. Please read it. It really works!

Dear Friend,

My name is Dave Rhodes. In September 1988 my car was repossessed and the bill collectors were hounding me like you wouldn't believe. I was laid off and my unemployment checks had run out. The only escape I had from the pressure of failure was my computer and my modem. I longed to turn my advocacy into my vocation.

This January 1989 my family and I went on a ten day cruise to the tropics. I bought a Lincoln Town Car for CASH in February 1989. I am currently building a home on the West Coast of Florida, with a private pool, boat slip, and a beautiful view of the bay from my breakfast room table and patio. I will never have to work again. Today I am rich! I have earned over \$400,000.00 (Four Hundred Thousand Dollars) to date and will become a millionaire within 4 or 5 months. Anyone can do the same. This money making program works perfectly every time, 100% of the time. I have NEVER failed to earn \$50,000.00 or more whenever I wanted. Best of all you never have to leave home except to go to your mailbox or post office.

In October 1988, I received a letter in the mail telling me how I could earn \$50,000 dollars or more whenever I wanted. I was naturally very skeptical and threw the letter on the desk next to my computer. It's funny though, when you are desperate, backed into a corner, your mind does crazy things. I spent a frustrating day looking through the want ads for a job with a future. The pickings were sparse at best. That night I tried to unwind by booting up my computer and calling several bulletin boards. I read several of the message posts and then glanced at the letter next to the computer. All at once it came to me, I now had the key to my dreams.

I realized that with the power of the computer I could expand and enhance this money making formula into the most unbelievable cash flow generator that has ever been created. I substituted the computer bulletin boards in place of the post office and electronically did by computer what others were doing 100% by mail. Now only a few letters are mailed manually. Most of the hard work is speedily downloaded to other bulletin boards throughout the world. If you believe that someday you deserve that lucky break that you have waited for all your life, simply follow the easy instructions below. Your dreams will come true.

Sincerely yours,
Dave Rhodes

INSTRUCTIONS

Follow these instructions EXACTLY, and in 20 to 60 days you will have received well over \$50,000.00 cash, all yours. This program has remained successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. Welcome to the world of Mail Order! This little business is a little different than most mail order houses. Your product is not solid and tangible, but rather a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. (The money made from the mailing lists are secondary to the income which is made from people like yourself requesting that they be included in that list.)

1) Immediately mail \$1.00 to the first 5 (five) names listed below starting at number 1 through number 5. Send cash only please (total investment \$5.00). Enclose a note with each letter stating: "Please add my name to your mailing list." (This is a legitimate service that you are requesting and you are paying \$1.00 for this service).

2) Remove the name that appears number 1 on the list. Move the other 9 names up one position. (Number 2 will become number 1 and number 3 will become number 2, etc.) Place your name, address and zip code in the number 10 position.

3) Post the new letter with your name in the number 10 position into 10 (Ten) separate bulletin boards in the message base or to the file

section, call the file, MAKE.MONEY.FAST.

4) Within 60 days you will receive over \$50,000.00 in CASH. Keep a copy of this file for yourself so that you can use it again and again whenever you need money. As soon as you mail out these letters you are automatically in the mail order business and people are sending you \$1.00 to be placed on your mailing list. This list can then be rented to a list broker that can be found in the Yellow Pages for additional income on a regular basis. The list will become more valuable as it grows in size. This is a service. This is perfectly legal. If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18, Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the postal lottery laws.

NOTE: Make sure you retain EVERY Name and Address sent to you, either on computer or hard copy, but do not discard the names and notes they send you. This is PROOF that you are truly providing a service and should the IRS or some other Government Agency question you, you can provide them with this proof!

Remember as each post is downloaded and the instructions carefully followed, five members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the number five position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in cash!

1. Claude Suddreth
131 West Jackson
South Sapulpa, OK 74066
2. Lirong Chen
124 Stanton Ave.
Apt.6 Ames, IA 50014
3. Angel Negrón
Box 4583
USAFA, CO 80841
4. Paul Zimmerman
108 Black Rock Tpke
Redding, CT 06876
5. Scott MacFarland
1224 E. Lemon #144
Tempe, AZ 85281
6. Mike Hissey
404 East 32nd #310

Austin, Tx 78705

7. Shane Sewell
5700 Lewood Drive
Austin, Tx 78745
8. Seungpil Kim
Dartmouth College
Hinman Box 2077
Hanover, NH 03755
9. Kara Yarnot
Box 987
5115 Margaret Morrison Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
10. Jay Pardee
509 E.White #8
Champaign, IL 61820

The following letters were written by participating members in this program.

To Whom It May Concern: About six months ago I received the enclosed post in letter form. I ignored it. I received about five more of the same letter within the next two weeks. I ignored them also. Of course, I was tempted to follow through and dreamed of making thousands, but I was convinced it was just another gimmick and could not possibly work. I was wrong! About three weeks later I saw this same letter posted on a local bulletin board in Montreal. I liked the idea of giving it a try with my computer. I didn't expect much because I figured, if other people were as skeptical as I, they wouldn't be too quick to part with Five Dollars. But, I buy lottery tickets weekly in my province and have nothing to show for it but ticket stubs. This week I decided to look at this as my weekly lottery purchase. I addressed the envelopes and mailed out one dollar in each as directed. Two weeks went by and I didn't receive anything in the mail. The fourth week rolled around and I couldn't believe what happened! I can't say I received \$50,000, but it was definitely well over \$35,000! For the first time in ten years, I got out of debt. It was great. Of course, it didn't take me long to go through my earnings so I am using this excellent money opportunity once again. Follow the instructions and get ready to enjoy. Please send a copy of this letter along with the enclosed letter so together we can convince people who are skeptical that it really works! Good Luck, Charles Kust
St Agathe Que.

Another letter: I tried a similar program in which the cost was \$5.00 per response. In that one the return was about 3%. Since I did not have a modem I sent out letters regular mail. I created a few mailing labels and printed out all of the labels on pressure sensitive tape. The first mailing that I used the \$1.00 dollar per response approach I started to get return mail in just over one week! I sent out 200 letters instead of 100 that is required if you use the mail instead of the bulletin boards. Additionally, I included as many friends, relatives, classmates, that I could think of in order to encourage their participation if they happened to recognize my name, so my percentage of gain was higher. I am trying again with 500 letters to see if I surpass the \$141,000 of the last time. You just won't believe it until you try.

Best Wishes, Mark Garner
Dallas Texas

Additional Notes: This system works equally well if mailed out manually. Mind you it takes more effort to hand address the envelopes and the cost goes up proportionately to cover the postage and envelopes. You must also photo copy the instructions, cross out the name in number one position, write in your name in the number ten slot and change the rest of the numbers accordingly. (it might be neater to use white out or paste over the names.) In order to achieve the same results you must send out the \$1.00 dollar to the first five names and then send out another 100 letters with copies of the program enclosed. It has been suggested not to put a return address on the outside of the envelope in order to encourage the recipient to open it. The return will approximate that then received from the posts listed on the bulletin boards.

Hello, my name is Steve Peester. As you may have noticed I'm the tenth name on this list, so I do not have a rags-to-riches story to tell here. However, I did make a phone call to the 2nd name on this list, Ernest Goyette. Did he have a rags to riches story to tell? Not exactly, but then I found out that he did not follow the instructions precisely. You see, Ernest lost faith in the program before he had finished following instructions. He only uploaded this file on one BBS, which happens to be operated by Darryl McGinnis, the 3rd name on this list. Ernest told me that he has received \$92.00 to date (1/6/90). I realize this is far from the \$50,000.00 promised at the first of this file, yet one must keep two things in mind:

1. \$92.00 is almost 10 times his initial investment, and it only took about an hour of his time (there's nothing to lose).
2. This program works mathematically on an exponential scale. In other words, for every one BBS that this file is uploaded onto, it should spread to at least ten other BBSs and possibly a whole lot

more. So, if Ernest had uploaded his file on all ten BBSS, he should have at least gotten a hundred-fold of what he has, which would be \$9200.00. Not bad for a few hours work and a \$6.25 investment (including postage).

Finally, I would like to exhort those who become involved in this program to maintain its integrity by being honest. It is the only way that it can possibly pay off. In other words, be sure to enter your name at the bottom of the list and not in one of the top five positions (actually this would be robbing yourself since it is while your name is in the lower positions that it gets multiplied exponentially over hundreds of BBSs). And, of course, send your \$1.00 off to the first five names. As I write this I have not made a penny (that's because I have not uploaded this yet), but I thought you might like to hear from someone at the bottom of the list, instead of someone claiming rags-to-riches. I hope such is true, and I'm sure it will be if we all stick with it. The potential is definitely here! P.S. Call me if you get rich.

Hello I am the current #1 0 on the list, I too am sceptical. Well what do we all have to lose. It is worth a try in order to realize some substantial gain. Don't any of you out there want to upgrade your PC's. I certainly do, but can't afford to. I hope that this program will make enough cash in order to buy a super system.
Nua Nicaj

Hey There! Glad your thinking about this seriously because I am! If all these people are making money then why not be included with them and get some also? Im going to be #1 0 on this list, and Im uploading it everywhere! If you have the access :) then follow through, upload it, and see what happens! Hey, Imagine earning enough to buy a 486? or one of those high speed modems that cost hundreds of dollars? What about buying your OWN BBS ? Who wouldnt want to be the Sys-Op of their VERY OWN board? I know I wouldnt mind :) What do you have to lose but 5 bucks compared to the hundreds and thousands you CAN make? I know Im down.. Will you be the very next to EARN some cash?
Talib Khan

My name's David Parcel. I am the new number 10 on this list. I tried a program like this in the mail over a year ago, and never made a dime! please note however, that I DID NOT follow the instructions precisely and I cut corners because I really didn't have the money to send out the large mailing. Now that I can try it via BBS, I will follow the instructions exactly. I have received dozens of mailings for programs like this. So I guess people really are making money at this.. Well, it's my turn. If you're reading this and you're skeptical, just ask yourself: WHAT HAVE YOU GOT TO LOSE? (if you're anything like me, you haven't got much). GO FOR

IT!

My name's Paul Marks. Now I'm the new number 10 on the list. I also tried a prothis through the mail about two years ago. I mailed only two lefters to two of my friade \$107 dollars. I know this will work! I'm very happy to see something like this again. KEEP THIS MOVING !

I am now number 10, and am also not sure about this. Let's all be honest and as Paul says, let's keep it moving. -Elisha Landman (if you don't try, you'll never know!)

Well, now I am number 10, and it seems to be working. The only way this system can fail now is if you ignore it and the exponential growth stops.

- Dmitri Linde

Now I'm number 10, and I don't mind saying I'm *R*E*A*L* sceptical. However, I am willing to give it a try we'll wait and see!

- Lirong Chen

Hey, now I'm # 10. May the Force be with us all, as we begin in this our endeavor...

- Angel Negrón

Howdy! Wonder how Elisha Landman is doing...

- Hugh MacMullan

I've got good news for y'all. I called up the number one on my list, to find

out if he'd made any money. I kind of expected him to say that he'd made

maybe at tops a couple thousand (which would be great, but a far cry from the

promised fifty!) but it turns out that he's made far more! While still more

than \$10000 away from that figure, he said that things have been picking up,

and he expects it to be pretty close!! Unbelievable. I just sent out my five

envelopes about five minutes ago, and I look forward to hearing from some

of you in the not so distant future :-)

- Scott MacFarland

Date: Tue, 30 Nov 1993 17:33:46 GMT
From: brunix!maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu!md@uunet.uu.net
Subject: W5YI's coverage of "temporary callsigns"
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jholly@cup.hp.com (Jim Hollenback) writes:

> It boogles my mind that people would oppose the 'instant' license proposal.
> This mechanisim exists in the marine band, and perhaps others. I can think
> of only two reasons this proposal is frowned on:

...sample rationales deleted...

> I personally can not see one reason for not adopting the proposal. Currently
> we allow instant access to new privileges on upgrading, why not access to
> the privileges when first obtaining a license?

There are several reasons why I oppose the concept of an "instant license", none of which have to do with the fact that 'I had to wait 6 weeks for my license, so do you'.

The proposal as it stands now I believe is flawed. Your temporary callsign will be WZ<# of call district><your initials>. Therefore, my temporary callsign would be WZ1MPD, and a friend of mine would have the callsign WZ1FA.

The reasons I feel the proposal is flawed are as follows:

1. There is no accountability as to who has what callsign. It is entirely possible that a dozen people with common names will all be sharing the same callsign in the same area. You can draw your own conclusions as to the mass confusion this will cause.
2. The lack of accountability also creates problems when hams with instant tickets break the rules. How do you contact someone whom the FCC has no record of?
3. The potential for abuse of "instant" callsigns is very great. If I am unlicensed, all I have to do is make up a new instant callsign once every few months, and I can operate indefinitely.

4. As a licensed ham, my ticket is at stake when I key up and speak to a station. If I am in a QSO with an unlicensed station, my ticket is in jeopardy (although in all reality I doubt the FCC would issue a fine, but you never know...)

5. What happens when a person with an "instant ticket" gains access to HF, or upgrades? WZ1MPD/AA? Or just WZ1MPD still, since its an "instant ticket" after all?

I do not believe that the "instant callsign" can be compared to someone who upgrades and then operates with the temporary identifier /whatever. For one thing, the person is already licensed and is on file with the FCC. Again, accountability.

The FCC states that the reason for the "instant callsign" is that they receive hundreds of phone calls from people asking what their callsign is. This proposal was put forth by the FCC as a way of decreasing the number of phone calls they get.

<KNOCK> Hello? McFly? Seems the answer to the FCC's problem is not to enact a rule change, but to simply STOP taking the damn calls asking "what's my callsign?" Once word got around that you can't call the FCC anymore, guess what? People will stop calling. Duhhhhhh. Its so obvious, only something as stupid as a government bureaucrat couldn't think of it.

And yes, furthermore I believe that it is yet another step in the trend we've seen over the past ten years of the FCC "getting out" of the Amateur Radio business. First VECs, then lack of enforcement, now "instant callsigns". How soon before we don't need callsigns at all, because the FCC considers it "too much work"?

Followups to r.r.a.p...

MD

--

-- Michael P. Deignan
-- Population Studies & Training Center
-- Brown University, Box 1916, Providence, RI 02912
-- (401) 863-7284

Date: (null)

From: (null)

--

| Marc Grant |

Amateur Radio Station N5MEI	marcbg@metronet.com	
214-231-3998 (voice)	marcbg@esy.com	
214-231-0025 (fax)		

Date: Tue, 30 Nov 1993 17:36:44 GMT
From: ucsnews!newshub.sdsu.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Nov24.000437.11069@cnsvox.uwec.edu>,
<1993Nov27.143615.17244@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>, <CH61HE.BF7@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
Subject : Re: Miss Manners in the Novice Sub-bands? G's silliness.

In article <CH61HE.BF7@news.Hawaii.Edu> jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff
Herman) writes:
>In article <1993Nov27.143615.17244@ke4zv.atl.ga.us> gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary
Coffman) writes:
>>You could verbally *spell* the words to the person and their language centers
>>would be active too, but that doesn't mean that spelling is a language.
>>It's the natural language *words* being spelled that are units of language
>>(when coupled with grammatical structure). Stepping back one level further
>>to an aural encoding of the alphabet used to spell words, Morse is certainly
>>even further divorced from language.
>>
>
>Only because your code speed is slow; at faster speeds one hears not the
>individual letters but entire words, and no mental translation into English
>is necessary. When I hear _ I hear one unit of sound, not 3
>or 6, and I don't think ``the'' - it's an article in its own right. And
>no writing is necessary - the process is the same as if I were listening
>to someone talk - there's nothing mechanical about it.

Well if - is considered an alternative *pronunciation* of the
English word "the", then I find that an acceptable explanation. That
still doesn't make Morse a language, at best it makes it a dialectical
pronunciation of a natural language word. Now the question is how
big is your vocabulary? For example, would you have "heard" all the
words in this paragraph, or would you have had to spell out most of
them? In natural languages, a vocabulary of 500 to 10,000 words, depending
on context and the particular language, is considered necessary to be
fluent. Are you fluent in a natural language using Morse pronunciation?
I'll wager not.

>You've got to get out of the straightjacket-type thinking you've locked
>yourself into. Your hostility towards code makes you say some weird stuff.

I'm no more hostile to Morse than I am to ASCII. They're both just alphabetic encodings. ASCII is a more complete set of encodings than Morse, but that's merely a side issue. Both can be used to spell out natural language words. Neither is a language in itself.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV	Where my job's going,	gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems	I don't know. It might	uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way	wind up in Mexico.	emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244	-NAFTA Blues	

Date: (null)

From: (null)

--

	Marc Grant	
	Amateur Radio Station N5MEI	marchbg@metronet.com
	214-231-3998 (voice)	marchbg@esy.com
	214-231-0025 (fax)	

Date: Tue, 30 Nov 1993 17:07:20 GMT

From: ucsnews!newshub.sdsu.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!

emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2651@arrl.org>, <2ddmdpINNqom@newsstand.cit.cornell.edu>,

<CHAJ4E.63H@mentor.cc.purdue.edu>

Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)

Subject : Re: Repeater calling procedure (Was: Elmers are dead, god help us hams!)

In article <CHAJ4E.63H@mentor.cc.purdue.edu> blumb@sage.cc.purdue.edu (Bill Blum) writes:

>ARGH!

>

>Maybe I didn't explain my first QSO very well.....

>

>I listened for a few minutes.....

>

>I -waited- for a break in the convo to give my "N9VLS monitoring" call....

>(I know that doubling over someone is a Bad Thing.)

Ah, the "monitoring" bit was still inappropriate usage. It's sort of like walking up to two people chatting in the mall and saying "Bill,

eavesdropping" instead of "Hi I'm Bill, how are you, mind if I join you?". On a busy machine, you'd normally just insert your callsign if you wanted to join the conversation, and they'd pick you up on the next go round. If one ham is signing, and you caught the call of the other ham, then calling him directly with "KE4ZV, Hi this is Bill, N9VLS" would be *a* right way to start a conversation. Only on a totally quiet channel would the "monitoring" term be acceptable usage, and even then it should be redundant since we'd expect you to listen before transmitting. Just popping your callsign out is almost always the appropriate way to start or join a conversation on a repeater. "Hey, anybody around? This is N9VLS." is also fairly well accepted on many machines, but would be thought a bit wordy in some areas.

>And, they weren't ragging me about being a lazy nocode.

>They were quite happy to see me on the air.

>

>It was a FUN welcome.

That's good. Most people will make allowances for varying procedures. It's usually best to conform to local usage as soon as you learn it though. That'll assure that you continue to be welcome. Putting a smile in your voice is almost always a door opener, even if your procedures are non-standard for the machine in question.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV	Where my job's going,	gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems	I don't know. It might	uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way	wind up in Mexico.	emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244	-NAFTA Blues	

Date: Tue, 30 Nov 1993 16:27:40 GMT

From: ucsnews!newshub.sdsu.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!

emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2651@arrl.org>, <bote.754601083@access>,

<1993Nov29.224759.18404@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>sd

Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)

Subject : Re: Repeater calling procedure (Was: Elmers are dead, god help us hams!)

In article <1993Nov29.224759.18404@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu> jmaynard@nyx10.cs.du.edu (Jay Maynard) writes:

>

>That's actually a bit formal among most Texas repeater users: we just toss
>our call out there, and if someone else feels like talking, they start a
>conversation. "K5ZC." (If I'm feeling verbose, and in my truck, it'll be

>"K5ZC mobile 5"; yes, I've been around that long.) "Hey, Jay...what's up?
>WD5IYT." And so on.

That's the way I usually do it too. We sometimes razz people for saying "monitoring" on our machine because they're unlikely to be listening while they're talking. :-) But that's just in fun, I've caught myself doing it too. What we *really* don't like is use of Q signals, CQ, or other expressions that aren't plain language procedures. We urge users to talk like normal human beings when using the machine rather than using HF jargon. We also don't like excessive IDing at every turnover, or attempts at strict roundtable procedures. We don't try to run people off who do these things, but they will very likely catch some good natured heckling from the peanut gallery.

On the other hand, there are other repeater groups in town who attempt to enforce use of particular words and procedures very strictly. One of them hosts ARES. Now I guess there's nothing wrong with trying to emulate police or military comm methods, and use of strict procedures can be helpful in emergency net situations, but our group doesn't find it very friendly or natural for day to day conversation.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV	Where my job's going,	gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems	I don't know. It might	uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way	wind up in Mexico.	emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244	-NAFTA Blues	

Date: (null)

From: (null)

--

	Marc Grant	
	Amateur Radio Station N5MEI	marcbg@metronet.com
	214-231-3998 (voice)	marcbg@esy.com
	214-231-0025 (fax)	

Date: Tue, 30 Nov 1993 16:38:22 GMT

From: ucsnews!newshub.sdsu.edu!usc!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!
gary@network.ucsd.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <gate.754535776@GRAFex.sbay.org>,
<9311292209591.gilbaronw0mn.DLITE@delphi.com>, <CHAI9C.A27@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)

Subject : Re: HAM-server index file

In article <CHAI9C.A27@news.Hawaii.Edu> jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

>In article <9311292209591.gilbaronw0mn.DLITE@delphi.com> gilbaronw0mn@delphi.com (Gilbert Baron) writes:

>>Why do we WASTE bandwidth with long garbage like this list of files?

>

>Phooey on you Gil! I for one am glad to see the list of files. This

>ham-server is a gold mine of info - I've gotten so many goodies off

>that list - I would have never known what they had to offer unless

>they had posted on here.

Ditto.

Oh, oh, I'm agreeing with Jeff. Doctor, doctor, help me. :-)

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV	Where my job's going,	gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems	I don't know. It might	uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way	wind up in Mexico.	emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244	-NAFTA Blues	

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #1412
